

**ORDER SHEET**

**WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**

**Present-**

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag  
& The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

Case No – **OA 1311 OF 2013**

**Narayan Chandra Deb** Vs The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
19 <hr/> 10.07.2018	<p><b>For the Applicant</b> : None.</p> <p><b>For the Respondents</b> : Mr. R.A. Chowdhury, Learned Advocate.</p> <p>The applicant has prayed for refixation of pay and pensionary benefit and interest on delayed payment of Dearness Allowance and quashing of order dated January 18, 2013 issued by the Superintending Engineer, Housing Construction Circle No. II by which prayer of the applicant for fixation of pay in revised pay scale of Rs.1100-1900/- under ROPA 1981 was turned down.</p> <p>None appears on behalf of the applicant. We have heard Mr. R.A. Chowdhury, Learned Counsel for the state respondents.</p> <p>The applicant joined in the post of Estimator on August 22, 1955 in the pay scale of Rs.125-250/- and he got the benefit of promotion as Assistant Engineer only on September 22, 1979 and his pay was fixed on promotion in the scale of pay of Rs.475-1150/-. On the basis of ROPA 1981, pay of the applicant was refixed at the pay scale of Rs.660-1600/-. The grievance of the applicant is that his pay should have been fixed in the scale of pay of Rs.1100-1900/- as per ROPA 1981 and that is why the applicant approached the Tribunal by</p>	

**ORDER SHEET**

**Narayan Chandra Deb**

Form No. ....

**Vs.**

**The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Case No. **OA 1311 OF 2013**

filing OA-406 of 2012. The Tribunal gave direction to the Superintending Engineer, Housing Construction Circle No. II to consider the grievance of the applicant and pass a reasoned order regarding fixation of pay of the applicant. It appears from the said reasoned order dated January 10, 2013 passed by the Superintending Engineer, Housing Construction Circle No. II that the pay of the applicant has been rightly fixed. We do not find any illegality or irregularity in fixation of pay of the applicant and as such, the applicant is not entitled to get any relief in the present application. The present application is, thus, **dismissed**.

Let a plain copy of this order be supplied to Learned Counsel for the state respondents.

**( S.K. DAS )**  
MEMBER(A)

**( R. K. BAG )**  
MEMBER (J)

Sanjib